



***A RICH HERITAGE OF CULTIVATING WORLD-CLASS LEARNERS***

**FORK SHOALS SCHOOL**  
**916 McKelvey Road**  
**Pelzer, South Carolina 29669**  
**864.355.5000**  
**864.355.5012 Fax**  
**[www.greenville.k12.sc.us/forksh/](http://www.greenville.k12.sc.us/forksh/)**  
**Grades Served: K5-5<sup>th</sup>**  
**Kim Reid, Principal**  
**School District of Greenville County**  
**W. Burke Royster, Superintendent**  
**2013- 2018**

## SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN COVER PAGE

**SCHOOL:** Fork Shoals School

**SCHOOL DISTRICT:** Greenville County Schools

**SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN FOR YEARS:** 2013-14 through 2017-18 (*five years*)

**SCHOOL RENEWAL ANNUAL UPDATE FOR:** 2014-15 (*one year*)

### Assurances

The school renewal plan, or annual update of the school renewal plan, includes elements required by the Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act of 1993 (Act 135) and the Education Accountability Act of 1998 (EAA) (S.C. Code Ann. §§ 59-18-1300 and 59-139-10 *et seq.* (Supp. 2004)). The signatures of the chairperson of the board of trustees, the superintendent, the principal, and the chairperson of the school improvement council are affirmation of active participation of key stakeholders and alignment with Act 135 and EAA requirements.

### CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Mr. Charles J. Saylor		
PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE

### SUPERINTENDENT

Mr. W. Burke Royster		
PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE

### CHAIRPERSON, SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Mrs. Ashley Buzzalino		
PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE

### PRINCIPAL

Mrs. Kim Reid		
PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE

ADDRESS: 916 McKelvey Road, Pelzer, South Carolina 29669

TELEPHONE: (864) 355-5000

E-MAIL ADDRESS: [keid@greenville.k12.sc.us](mailto:keid@greenville.k12.sc.us)

## STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT FOR SCHOOL PLANS

List the name of persons who were involved in the development of the school renewal plan. A participant for each numbered category is required.

- | <b>POSITION</b>   | <b>NAME</b>                   |
|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. PRINCIPAL :  | <u>Mrs. Kim Reid</u>          |
| 2. TEACHER :  | <u>Mrs. Corey Cianfarano</u>  |
| 3. PARENT/GUARDIAN :  | <u>Mrs. Stephanie Dickson</u> |
| 4. COMMUNITY MEMBER :   | <u>Mrs. Linda Murray</u>      |
| 5. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL:  | <u>Mrs. Ashley Buzzalino</u>  |
| 6. OTHERS* (May include school board members, administrators, School Improvement Council members, students, PTO members, agency representatives, university partners, etc.) |                               |

<b>POSITION</b>	<b>NAME</b>
<u>Assistant Principal</u>	<u>Mrs.Taneshia Stevenson</u>
<u>Instructional Coach</u>	<u>Mrs. Amy Giles</u>
<u>Guidance Counselor</u>	<u>Ms. Autumn Cline</u>
<u>SIC</u>	<u>Mrs. Lori Nix</u>
<u>PTA</u>	<u>Mrs. Shawn Thompson</u>
<u>PTA</u>	<u>Mrs. Sally Lookadoo</u>
<u>PTA</u>	<u>Mrs. Christine Ingram</u>

**\*REMINDER:** If state or federal grant applications require representation by other stakeholder groups, it is appropriate to include additional stakeholders to meet those requirements and to ensure that the plans are aligned.

## Assurances

### Act 135 Assurances

Assurances, checked and signed by the district superintendent and the principal, attest that the school/district complies with all applicable Act 135 requirements.

(Initial each category to document that assurances are met).



**Academic Assistance, PreK–3** The school/district makes special efforts to assist children in PreK–3 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation). A good example of academic assistance services for PreK–3 may be found at:



**Academic Assistance, Grades 4–12** The school/district makes special efforts to assist children in grades 4–12 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation). A good example of academic assistance services for grades 4–12 may be found at:



**Parent Involvement** The school/district encourages and assists parents in becoming more involved in their children's education. Some examples of parent involvement initiatives include making special efforts to meet with parents at times more convenient for them, designating space in schools for parents to access educational resource materials, including parent involvement expectations as part of the principal's and superintendent's evaluations, and providing parents with information pertaining to expectations held for them by the school system, such as ensuring attendance and punctuality of their children. A good example of parent involvement may be found at:



**Staff Development** The school/district provides staff development training for teachers and administrators in the teaching techniques and strategies needed to implement the school/district plan for the improvement of student academic performance. The staff development program reflects requirements of Act 135, the EAA, and the National Staff Development Council's revised *Standards for Staff Development*. A good example of staff development may be found at:



**Technology** The school/district integrates technology into professional development, curriculum development, and classroom instruction to improve teaching and learning. A good example of the use of technology may be found at:

N/A      **Innovation** The school/district uses innovation funds for innovative activities to improve student learning and accelerate the performance of all students. A good example of the use of innovation funds may be found at:



**Recruitment** The school/district makes special efforts to **recruit** and give **priority** in parenting and family literacy activities to **parents** of at-risk 0–5 year olds. The recruitment program is not grade specific, but normally would be most appropriate for **parents** of children at the primary and elementary school levels and below, and for secondary school students who are **parents**.



**Collaboration** The school/district (regardless of the grades served) collaborates with health and human services agencies (e.g., county health departments, social services departments, mental health departments, First Steps, and the family court system).



**Developmental Screening** The school/district ensures that the young child receives all services necessary for growth and development. Instruments are used to assess physical, social, emotional, linguistic, and cognitive developmental levels. This program normally is appropriate at primary and elementary schools, although screening efforts could take place at any location.

N/A      **Half-Day Child Development** The school/district provides half-day child development programs for **four-year-olds** (some districts fund full-day programs). The programs usually function at primary and elementary schools, although they may be housed at locations with other grade levels or completely separate from schools.



**Best Practices in Grades K–3** The school/district provides in grades K–3 curricular and instructional approaches that are known to be effective in the K–3 setting.



**Developmentally Appropriate Curriculum for PreK–3** The school/district ensures that the scope and sequence of the curriculum for PreK–3 are appropriate for the maturation levels of students. Instructional practices accommodate individual differences in maturation level and take into account the student's social and cultural context.



**Parenting and Family Literacy** The school/district provides parenting activities and opportunities for parents of at-risk 0–5 year olds to improve their educational level. This program is not grade specific, but generally is most appropriate for parents of children at the primary and elementary school levels and below, and for secondary school students

who are parents. Some districts operate the program at various schools, an early childhood development center, or some other location, while other districts operate the program through home visits.



**Coordination of Act 135 Initiatives with Other Federal, State, and District Programs** The school/district ensures as much program effectiveness as possible by developing a districtwide/schoolwide coordinated effort among all programs and funding. Act 135 initiatives are coordinated with programs such as Head Start, First Steps, Title I, and programs for students with disabilities.



**The School-to-Work Transition Act of 1994 (STW)** The school/district provides required STW programs for grades 6–12, and STW concepts are a part of the developmentally appropriate curriculum for K–12.

W. Burke Royster  
Superintendent's Printed Name  
(for district and school plans)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Superintendent's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Kim Reid  
Principal's Printed Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Principal's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

As chairperson of the SACS CASI Quality Assurance Review Team, I certify that Fork Shoals School has a continuous improvement plan that meets all requirements of the South Carolina Department of Education's model planning process as specified in State Board of Education Regulation 43-261 and that the school meets SACS CASI accreditation requirements.

Quality Assurance Review  
Team Chair Printed Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
QAR Team Chair's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

# Table of Contents

<b><u>Introduction</u></b>	8
<b><u>Executive Summary</u></b>	10
<b><u>School Profile</u></b>	14
<b><u>Mission, Vision, and Beliefs</u></b>	23
<b><u>Data Analysis and Needs Assessment</u></b>	25
<b><u>Action Plan</u></b>	36
<b><u>School Report Card &amp; ESEA (Federal Accountability)</u></b>	69

# Introduction

**FORK SHOALS SCHOOL'S** Strategic Planning Guide was developed to document the changes and progress Fork Shoals School has made while working to continuously improve everything we do. The Strategic Planning Guide provides our staff with an ongoing means for self-assessment, communication, continuous improvement, and accountability.

This Strategic Planning Guide is a living document that describes **FORK SHOALS SCHOOL** and includes actual evidence of our work. It describes who we are, our vision for the school, goals, plans, progress, achievements in the context of client demographics and needs, and school partnerships. The Strategic Planning Guide also describes how we build and utilize our overall school plan for the purpose of increasing student learning—our ultimate outcome. It is in this final chapter that one can see an overview of all the progress and changes we have been able to accomplish within each section and throughout **Fork Shoals School** during this time.

## Self-Study Process

A team of teachers was involved in developing the narrative for our Strategic Planning Guide based on input from the whole staff, a group of parents, and a few students. Much of the narrative content came from discussions of the staff in the process of evaluating our work as we completed the self-assessment for the accreditation process. During these discussions, staff, parents, and students were asked to contribute “evidence” of our progress—actual documents that show the changes in our practices. Data from staff, student, and parent surveys were also used as documentation of what we need to continue to do and what we need to develop further.

At the beginning of the 2012-2013 school year, Fork Shoals Faculty reviewed the mission, vision, and beliefs. In the fall teachers spent time working on our International Baccalaureate Primary Years Program self-study for our five year evaluation visit which occurred in March. In the spring, we met in groups that were similar to our IB groups to complete the accreditation process. We developed needs based on the results from surveys that have been completed. The goals were developed based on the needs assessments from student achievement and the ratings in each of the areas. We created strategies for each goal in planning for improvement. The goals and strategies will be monitored closely and updated on a yearly basis. Our teams consisted of:



**Purpose & Direction**

Matt Critell—Team Leader/Kindergarten Teacher  
Deandra Kiser—First Grade Teacher  
Amy Johnson—Fifth Grade Teacher  
Kayla Wingo—Second Grade Teacher  
Billi Jo Coleman—Fourth Grade Teacher  
Carmen Biosca-Baker—Spanish Teacher  
Joanna Mullins—3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Teacher  
Suzi Knebusch—Special Education Teacher  
Chantal Masterson—SIC  
Raynee Quillen—Student

**Governance & Leadership**

Whitnee Grant—First Grade Teacher/Team Leader  
Joanna Barney—Fifth Grade Teacher  
Lauren Copeland—Second Grade Teacher  
Jennifer Weishaar—3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Teacher  
Corey Cianfarano—Art Teacher  
Lindsay Burghardt—Spanish Teacher  
Jessica Kelowitz—Challenge Teacher  
Nancy Mathews—Fourth Grade Teacher  
Karen Chasteen—First Grade Teacher  
Kim Reid—Principal  
Shawn Thompson—PTA  
Sally Lookadoo—PTA  
Emma Magnan—Student  
Alyssa Finkbeiner--Student

**Teacher & Assessing for Learning**

Lorraine Paxton—Music Teacher/Team Leader  
Claudine Mailloux—Fifth Grade Teacher  
Allison Crain—First Grade Teacher  
Reem Alnatour—Third Grade Teacher  
Leslie Fulcher—Fourth Grade Teacher  
Cindy Cegledy—Kindergarten Teacher  
Heidi Wile—ESOL Teacher  
Lauren Thompson—Kindergarten Teacher  
Amy Giles—Instructional Coach  
Ashley Buzzalino—SIC  
Christine Ingram—PTA  
Dylan Masterson—Student  
Brianna Hammersmith--Student

### **Resources & Support Systems**

Allyson McDowell—Fourth Grade Teacher/Team Leader  
Mary Dean—Second Grade Teacher  
Lindsay Sandy—Kindergarten Teacher  
Christina Moroney—First Grade Teacher  
Hope McNeely—Third Grade Teacher  
Cam McDade—Special Education Teacher  
Kelly Knight—Media Specialist  
Heather Sox—Fifth Grade Teacher  
Cassandra Garrett—Second Grade Teacher  
Autumn Cline—Guidance Counselor  
Stephanie Dickson—PTA  
April Hughes—Parent  
Nick Hutchens--Student

### **Using Results for Continuous Improvement**

Kani Garren—Fifth Grade Teacher/Team Leader  
Mase Garrett-Second Grade Teacher  
Darlene Sabelhaus—First Grade Teacher  
Cynthia Costin—Kindergarten Teacher  
Marty Brown—RTI Teacher  
Charnley DeMeritt—Fourth Grade Teacher  
Denise Alexander—Third Grade Teacher  
Swanna Hart—PE Teacher  
Jean Wilder—Second Grade Teacher  
Taneshia Stevenson—Assistant Principal  
Lori Nix—SIC  
Jacks Griffith—Student

## **Executive Summary**

### **Needs Assessments/Findings for Student Achievement:**

Overall Fork Shoals School is achieving academically. The school received the Silver Award from the state for their achievement on the state test in 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. The school received an improvement rating of average last year. The school received a good rating on the report card. The federal accountability ESEA rating was an 80.4 B. Students in second grade taking the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) score above the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile compared to the national average. Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) is used to measure our school on the annual school report card. In reviewing the data by

grade level, there is a slow atrophy of achievement as the students move through the grade levels. In subgroup comparisons we find students on subsidized lunch score lower than paid students and special education students score lower than non-disabled peers.

We are addressing the gaps by working in tutorial settings before the school day begins, and we are using research based reading intervention programs in the early grades. We are also developing more parental involvement, increasing the use of technology to address learning differences, and the need to integrate content areas and core subjects to improve higher order thinking, inquiry and application of concepts. Our staff is constantly looking at common assessment data to notice strengths and weaknesses within our student body. Teachers understand the ever-increasing diversity of needs of students and address them as effectively as possible.

## **Needs Assessment/Findings for Teacher and Administrator Quality**

Fork Shoals has 100% highly qualified teachers. Over half, 65%, have a Master's degree. Six teachers are National Board Certified. Surveys are used to determine the level of agreement for various priority standards that drive our daily curriculum and improvement. Parents, teachers, and students are surveyed to get each area of opinion. Greenville County Schools gives the NSSE (National Study of School Evaluation) Survey yearly to our entire 5<sup>th</sup> grade, all our 5<sup>th</sup> grade parents, and our entire teaching staff. The results are broken down by three areas that are surveyed. This past school year showed parent perceptions remained consistent from the previous years. The overall perceptions range favorably from 89%-93%. The student perception data ranged from 89.7% to 90.4%. Parents perceived the school better than the students do, with the exception of home-school relations which 89% of parents were satisfied and only 89.7% of students were satisfied. The teachers' perception of Fork Shoals rated extremely high in each of the three major categories. All three areas were 100 percent. According to the accreditation survey, parents feel strengths to be our school's purpose statement is clearly focused on student success, our school has high expectations for students in all classes, and our school provides a safe learning environment. Parents feel areas to improve are all of my child's teachers meet his/her learning needs by individualizing instruction, our school provides excellent support services, and my child has access to support services based on his/her identified needs. Students feel strengths to be that the principal and teachers want every student to learn, in my school teachers want them to do their best work, and my principal and

teachers help me to get ready for the next grade. Areas of improvement include my teachers ask my family to come to school activities and my principal and teachers ask me what I think about school.

## **Needs Assessment/Findings for School Climate**

Overall, our teachers rate Fork Shoals School highly, especially compared to district averages. Fork Shoals School has set the expectation of 85% overall approval by the parents on the school report card survey data. In order to maintain this high level of approval, Fork Shoals School engages in the International Baccalaureate Programme which focuses on inquiry-based instructional practices. It also has a strong social and emotional factor that is integrated in the curriculum. Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports Model (PBIS) along with using the IB attitudes and IB learner profile will continue to strengthen our students' behavior in school. Training in IB and PBIS for teachers and staff will ensure a high level of support and implementation in these research based models for academics and behavior. Efforts have been made to include the Fork Shoals family (parents, teachers, staff, and community) in current decisions through School Improvement Counsel meetings and PTA Meetings.

The parent perception goal of 85% overall approval was set in 2008 after analyzing several years of perception data. The student approval goal is 85% too. Last year the students rated Fork Shoals School above 88% in all three areas. The teacher goal remains at 98% or better. While the past three years have been at least 98% for all three areas and some areas have been 100%, statistically expecting 100% every time is not recommended. A goal of 95% still ensures an extremely high level of approval.

## **Significant Challenges:**

Based on the results of numerous standardize tests administered to our students, there are areas that need to improve. The students did not perform as well in the area of Writing and Science compared to the other areas on the state test; however, both areas were improvements from the previous year. Although writing and science remain the weakest areas, more students scored exemplary in both of those areas than last year. Additionally, more students scored exemplary in ELA. Fork Shoals School participated in extensive professional development for implementing the Fountas and Pinnell Balanced Literacy program. We also worked as a staff to complete our five year IB self-study in which we reflected on our practices of integrating the various subject areas. Common Core standards were implemented this to year as well to raise the

expectation of students using higher order thinking skills and applying skills learned.

Fork Shoals School received a grade of 80.4 on the ESEA federal accountability rating. We received at least partial percentage points in all areas except the area of disabled ELA. Improvements were made in the areas of science for males, African Americans, and subsidized meals. Our disabled students made improvements in math, science, and social studies.

## Accomplishments:

We have continued to make significant progress. Professional development and school resources have been spent with the goals and objectives as key criteria. We had a top ten finalist for Greenville County Teacher of the Year for the third time in the last ten years. Fork Shoals is a Silver Award recipient for our state test scores, received a good improvement rating by the state, and a good overall rating on the school report card. Fork Shoals School made a grade of 80.4 B on the ESEA Federal Accountability rating. The school staff, PTA, and School Improvement Council has been involved in the review and assessment of the Strategic Plan. We continue to make progress in addressing deficiencies and needs by implementing the International Baccalaureate Primary Years Programme. The school has received its IB Authorization in 2005 and has been evaluated in 2008 and again in 2013. Fork Shoals will have another evaluation visit in 2018.

## School Profile

### School Community

Fork Shoals School is a public elementary school located in the southernmost part of Greenville County in the piedmont of South Carolina. Founded in 1877, this school has gone from a one-room log cabin to a 90,000 square foot, state of the art educational facility and community resource center. The new facility was completed in 1998. Fork Shoals School currently houses 702 students in Kindergarten through grade 5. It has 47 instructional members, 4 office staff members, and 2 administrators. Fork Shoals is one of 51 elementary schools in the School District of Greenville County.

Although our school is physically in Greenville County, the school mailing address is Pelzer, South Carolina. The town of Pelzer, however, is in Anderson, South Carolina. Fork Shoals students are residents of a rural community with addresses from several small towns: Belton, Honea Path, Piedmont, Pelzer, Simpsonville, and Fountain Inn. Fork Shoals still has an agricultural base with many large older and some newer homes on farmland. There are also new subdivisions built with large homes on a variety of lot sizes. The crime rate in this area is only eight percent.

Through over 130 years of growth and change, this community-based school has managed to hold on to its warm child-centered atmosphere. In the midst of 32 classrooms, each child is made to feel he/she is the brightest of the Fork Shoals

“Freedom Stars”. While retaining the feel of the old school, this facility boasts the latest technology in its science, art, music, multipurpose, computer and media centers. There is a separate fully equipped science lab. There is a computer lab with 28 computer stations and the school recently received a wireless network. The media center has a state of the art production studio currently used to broadcast the school’s morning news program. Fork Shoals also offers a flexible schedule to enable maximum use of the library media center. The art room is equipped to meet the standards for elementary art and, in addition, has a kiln room. The music room has portable risers, which are used for class time and in musical productions. The multipurpose room has adjustable basketball goals, a removable volleyball net, and other equipment to support a top-notch physical education program. In addition, each classroom has an interactive whiteboard (Promethean Board), a laptop computer for teacher use and 3 to 5 student computers.

The modern attributes of Fork Shoals are complimented by a strong sense of nature and life. Pristine pastureland and endless room to run surround the school. A butterfly garden graces a centrally located courtyard. A Nature Trail resides along the southern tree line of the school’s property. Various play areas, baseball fields, basketball courts, walking track, fitness circuit, and modern playgrounds are all part of Fork Shoals’ 22 acre complex.

The school’s current enrollment configuration by grade level is as follows: kindergarten has 117 students, grade one has 117 students, grade two has 117 students, grade three has 131 students, grade four has 109 students, and grade five has 112 students.

Our principal is Kim Reid. This is her second year as principal at Fork Shoals School. She is a product of Greenville County Schools and attended Simpsonville Elementary, Plain Elementary, Bryson Middle, and Hillcrest High. She attended the University of South Carolina-Spartanburg and received a Bachelor of Arts in Education. She is certified in Early Childhood and Elementary Education. She taught her first year in 1997-1998 as a first grade teacher at Fork Shoals. During that year, she was named the District Sallie Mae First Year Teacher. The following year, she began teaching kindergarten and continued for the next six years. In 2000, she was named Fork Shoals Teacher of the Year. She graduated from Furman University with a Master of Arts with a Concentration in School Leadership in 2004. She then taught one year of second grade. During that year, she was named the Wal-Mart Teacher of the Year. Mrs. Reid left the classroom to be Fork Shoals School’s first assistant principal in 2005, and in her third year, she was named the Assistant Principal of the Year for Greenville County Schools. For seven years, Mrs. Reid served as the assistant principal of Fork Shoals School. In the spring of 2012, she was named the new principal of Fork Shoals beginning the 2012-2013 school year.



Our assistant principal is Taneshia Stevenson. She came to Fork Shoals in the fall of 2012 as the new assistant principal after formerly being the administrative assistant at Alexander Elementary for three years. Prior to Alexander, Mrs. Stevenson graduated from South Carolina State University with a Bachelors in Elementary Education, a Masters in Counseling (Webster University), and a Masters in School Leadership (Furman University). She taught at Welcome Elementary and at Taylors Elementary. During her time at Welcome, she was named Welcome Elementary Teacher of the Year and PTA District Teacher of the Year.

Fork Shoals School has numerous groups that work collaboratively to ensure the students' needs are being met and the school is progressing forward with their goals. Fork Shoals School has a Leadership Council consisting of one teacher leader from each grade level including related arts and special education. The council also includes the media specialist, guidance counselor, instructional coach, and assistant principal. The Leadership Council works along with the principal to make informed decisions concerning curriculum, instruction, assessment, and operational management of the school. The council meets in the summer for a two day retreat and then monthly during the school year. Each member of the team then reports back to their area to disseminate the information from the meeting. The school has a School Improvement Council which is comprised of parents, teachers, and community members. This group meets monthly to brainstorm, discuss, and act on initiatives to better the school. These meetings are open to the public and coordinated by an elected chairperson. Fork Shoals School has an active Parent Teacher Association. A board of five members along with the administration of the school meets monthly to discuss priorities for the school. These groups form a strong partnership within the school community to move the school forward and enhance the education for our students. The PTA provides on-going support through mini-grants, field trip scholarships, and as volunteers. They coordinate fundraisers and provide educational programs.

Fork Shoals School envisions our families, staff, and community working together to improve student performance. This is a shared responsibility among all stakeholders in the school. We are committed to exploring and developing new strategies in which to provide a world-class culture of inquiry and to nurture each student's desire to be a continuous learner and a responsible citizen.

When students enroll at Fork Shoals School, parents are given the opportunity to meet with the principal and tour the school to see staff and students in action. At the beginning of the school year, parents and students are invited to attend a Meet the Teacher Night. During this time they meet teachers and are given information about how they can be involved in the school. In the fall of 2013, we added a curriculum presentation to our meet the teacher event in order to better



communicate grade level expectations to parents. Parents receive a weekly newsletter from teachers and all teachers have a website where information is kept up-to-date. Teachers have created e-mail distribution lists for their classes. Parents may call their child's teacher at any time since every teacher has a phone in their classroom. Every week the Principal sends out a school newsletter and a phone blast with information regarding school activities and important announcements. Parent volunteers play an important role in the success of our school. They work on fundraising, go on field trips, tutor, mentor, and help classroom teachers. In the past, parents have been integral in programs such as reading to the class, Destination Imagination, and Math Superstars. Parents also have been a part of our after school clubs. Some clubs meet weekly while others meet monthly or for a set time during the year. Parents run our clubs such as Robotics, as well as short term clubs; tennis, scrapbooking, art club, chorus club, Gaelic football, basketball, and cheerleading. Each year our short term clubs grow with new activities for our students to try. Ninety-eight percent of our parents attended a conference with their teacher last year. Parents are kept informed of ongoing events at our school by weekly teacher newsletters, bi-yearly PTA celebrations newsletter, school website, individual teacher websites, the school marquee, student handbook, local newspapers, principal's weekly eNews, telephones in the classrooms, weekly phone blasts from the principal, and email. Parents are encouraged to attend and give input to Curriculum Nights, book fairs, holiday program, parent workshops, three year rotation of Math & Science Night/Reading Night/Writing Night, parent conferences, PTA General Assembly meetings, and an open door policy by the school's administrators.

Fork Shoals School works very closely with several organizations in the community. The Boy Scouts and the Girl Scouts are two organizations who have had a long standing relationship with the school. Fork Shoals Baptist Church provides services for our children with our Good News Club. Church members volunteer their time each week to work with our boys and girls. Every year we raise money for the Leukemia Foundation with the Pennies for Patients Program. Each year we look to grow in our relationships with community organizations and increase with new ones.

We have continued to try and build relationships with our business community. After realizing that we had only minimal contact with businesses, we set out to establish more partners to help us carry out the vision at Fork Shoals. In the past, we have built relationships with Vulcan Materials, Canebreak Fire Department, Greenville Technical College-Brasher Campus, Verdmont, Upstate Nursery, & GE. These businesses help us in building productive citizens and future leaders. We have taken field trips to visit some of our partners integrating curriculum with career guidance. At the present time we are working to establish relationships with other businesses in our community. Lowe's has become a partner in the community. Our school has received a grant in the past, and we


installed a fitness circuit by the old building. This year, Home Depot has partnered with us to update our butterfly garden. Through our PTA Fall Festival numerous businesses donate their products to make this event a huge fundraiser for the school. Our PTA after school clubs have partnered with Lowe's, Art Haven, and Woodmont High School to provide opportunities for our children. Each year we seek out new business partnerships to reach our school goals.

## **Fork Shoals School Personnel**

Fork Shoals Elementary School boasts a dynamic faculty and staff with all 100% being highly qualified. Over half, 65%, have a Master's Degree, and six are National Board certified. We are a family of diverse backgrounds and talents working together for the benefit of all children. There are 32 classroom teachers and 5 teacher assistants in grades K5 – 5<sup>th</sup>. Our student/teacher ratio is 23 to 1 in kindergarten, 20 to 1 in first grade, 21 to 1 in second, 23 to 1 in 3<sup>rd</sup> grade, and 24 to 1 in 4<sup>th</sup>, and 29 to 1 5<sup>th</sup> grade. We enjoy the privilege of full-time related arts teachers in the areas of Art, Music, and Physical Education, as well as a part-time team one day a week. The children are learning about other cultures and languages with the help of our full-time Spanish teacher for the intermediate grades and a half-time Spanish teacher for the primary grades. Our full time Media Specialist and an assistant implement a “flex schedule” to help enhance the library skills of all children in the school. Special assistance is provided for struggling students with the help of two resource teachers and one speech teacher. Fork Shoals has an English as a Second Language teacher who works with students whose language spoken in the home is something other than English. We have one full-time guidance counselor. Fork Shoals has a challenge teacher who serves students two and a half days a week. All teachers at Fork Shoals teach using the IB Primary Years Program philosophy. All teachers have been trained in Learning Focus strategies and Every Day Calendar Math. Fork Shoals has predominately female teachers. The exception is a male 2<sup>nd</sup> grade teacher. The school has two African American female teachers, one African American assistant principal, one teacher of Middle Eastern descent, and the rest are white. Additional personnel include the school principal, assistant principal, secretary, instructional coach, a mental health counselor, attendance clerk, receptionist, one nurse, plant engineer, four custodians, one food service manager, five food service workers and eight bus drivers also provide services to students. Fork Shoals' teachers had an attendance rate of 95.3% in the 2012-2013 school year.

## Student Population

At the present time, the student enrollment at Fork Shoals School is made up mostly white (75%), 12% African-American, 7% Hispanic, and 6% other ethnicities, which include Kurdish and multi-racial.



Over the past seven years, our population has changed. The enrollment has leveled off the past three years after four consecutive years of growth. The stoppage in growth is due primarily to the cap on special permission students and the recession of the economy. Fork Shoals School attendance zone has numerous neighborhoods that still have potential for future homes to be built, and enrollment is expected to increase once those neighborhoods are complete.

Forty-three (37%) percent of the students qualify for free or reduced priced meals. Over the last five years, the free and reduced lunch percentages have been 37%, 39%, 43%, 41%, and 43% respectively. The economy's recession and more parents losing their jobs is the suspected reason for our increase.

Fork Shoals staffs' commitment to providing the most appropriate learning environment for every child can be seen in the number of children qualifying for special services. The percentage of students in resource has remained constant, but we no longer have a learning disabilities self-contained class.

Student attendance rates at Fork Shoals School have remained steady over the past few years at 96 percent. The mobility rate is currently about 8 percent coming in and 5 percent leaving Fork Shoals School. Six years ago the school was open to anyone who wanted to bring their child to the school on special permission. Five years ago allotments decreased, and we could only take 25 new special permission kindergarteners, four years ago it decreased to 15 students, three years ago to 8 new students, and two years ago it was only 6. Last year, only two students were given the change in assignment choice. The school fully expects to be closed for change in assignment in the years to come.

The student population is comprised of students with three home languages. These languages, in order of student enrollment are English, Hispanic, and Kurdish. Fork Shoals School has several funded programs, which focus on helping “at risk” students, and the special needs of all students. Specialized instruction provides this assistance, as well as, a part time ESOL teacher for our students whose parents speak a language other than English in the home. Children with learning disabilities are served in resource classrooms. Fork Shoals School also has a gifted and talented program for identified students. This program has changed from serving 49 students in 2007 to 70 students in 2011-2012 to 63 students in 2012-2013 and 49 students in 2013-2014. Fork Shoals School’s retention rate is around 1 percent of the student body.

Fork Shoals currently has subgroups for NCLB which are all students, male, female, white, African American, subsidized meals, and disabled.

## **Academic Programs and Initiatives**

### **International Baccalaureate Primary Years Programme (IB PYP)**

Fork Shoals is an International Baccalaureate Primary Years School. We were authorized to offer this program in the spring of 2005 and had evaluation visits the spring of 2008 and spring of 2013. Developed by educators from around the world, the Primary Years Programme (PYP) emphasizes internationalism and identifies what students from all cultures should learn in six subject areas: languages, social studies, mathematics, science and technology, the arts, and personal, social and physical education. Fork Shoals uses the South Carolina Standard Course of Study and the Greenville County curriculum; however, teachers use the Primary Years approach to organize and teach curriculum through carefully planned and approved units of study. The goal of the International Baccalaureate Primary Years Programme is to develop learners

who become inquirers, thinkers, communicators and risk-takers who are knowledgeable, principled, caring, open-minded, balanced and reflective.

Our aim is to promote the following attitudes:

- Appreciation
- Commitment
- Confidence
- Cooperation
- Creativity
- Curiosity
- Empathy
- Enthusiasm
- Independence
- Integrity
- Respect
- Tolerance

The development of the following skills is fostered:

- Thinking
- Communication
- Socializing
- Research
- Self-management

Students are encouraged to put what they have learned into practice through service to the school community, the local community and the global community.

## **Curriculum Initiatives**

Fork Shoals School has a strong emphasis on literacy. We are implementing the Fountas and Pinnell balanced literacy model. Teachers are also focusing on the teaching of the common core state standards for reading, writing, listening, and speaking, and math.

Teachers use Rubicon Atlas to plan instruction and to also create school level consensus curriculum maps for the IB program. This year, we have continued using an on-line math program, First in Math. Students earn points and individuals and classes in the lead were recognized. Fork Shoals teachers are provided with Science Kits, which contain a resource guide and materials that support the South Carolina Science Standards. Science textbooks are used for frontloading knowledge and/or as a resource. We have a Science Lab in our school that provides a place for experiments and hands-on learning. Each teacher provides hands-on math and science activities for the students and their parents to complete together.

## **Response to Intervention Model (RTI)**

All students in kindergarten are benchmarked in the fall, winter, and spring for reading skills. The data gathered is used to provide intense reading intervention at a young age. The intervention in reading is through the research based Early Reading Intervention Model. Students are served for 30 minutes of daily reading instruction based on their benchmark performance. The students are progressed monitored every 10 to 15 days and their progress is tracked using the Aimsweb database. The progress is evaluated constantly to ensure progress is being made or next steps are taken. The school psychologist meets with the interventionists and classroom teachers quarterly to review the data. At Fork Shoals School, kindergarten assistants provide the K5 intervention.

## **Positive Behaviors, Interventions, and Supports Model (PBIS)**

PBIS addresses behavior school-wide. Fork Shoals School adopted this model of behavior support in 2008. The model is for students, teachers, assistants, support staff, administration and parents. It supports a safe, positive learning environment for our children. PBIS relies primarily on positive, proactive strategies rather than a reactive, punitive approach to behavior. The model teaches students how to do the right thing through modeling and reinforcing by the teachers and staff. It is consistent with and sometimes required by NCLB and IDEA initiatives and is research and evidence based. There are three levels of intervention to support ALL students: 1) Primary/Universal – school- & classroom-wide systems that focus on all students, staff, and settings. 2) Secondary – specialized group interventions for students with at-risk behavior. 3) Tertiary- individualized interventions for students with high-risk behavior. At Fork Shoals School there are three basic expectations that children and adults are expected to meet:

- 1. Stay Safe**
- 2. Take Responsibility &**
- 3. Respect Everyone**

These expectations are modeled, posted, and reinforced in all areas of the school: classroom, cafeteria, bus, hallways, playground, and arrival and dismissal areas. Students are reinforced with STAR cards when they meet these expectations. Incentives are given out on a regular basis. Teachers comprise vertical teams for each curriculum area: math, ELA, science, social studies, and technology. We

also have a vertical team for PBIS. These teams discuss best practices for the particular curricular area, as well as, plan events as related to their area.

## **Mission, Vision, and Beliefs**

### **School Tagline**

A Rich Heritage of Cultivating World-Class Learners

### **Mission Statement**

The mission of Fork Shoals School is to provide a world-class culture of inquiry and to nurture each student's desire to be a continuous learner and a responsible citizen.

### **Vision**

Fork Shoals School students will be principled, knowledgeable, open-minded, balanced, caring, inquirers, thinkers, communicators, and risk-takers. They will seek answers to questions to guide their learning. They will use this education to be active citizens with a deeper appreciation and understanding of the world to enhance their quality of life.

## **Beliefs**

### **We believe...**

#### **Curriculum**

- Is integrated
- Has a real world purpose
- Must be supported with field experiences related to content
- Has a global application
- Is focused on students' strengths and weaknesses
- Is developmentally appropriate
- Presents various perspectives

#### **Instruction**

- Provides students the opportunity to be teachers
- Is inquiry based
- Is engaging
- Involves high levels of problem solving

- Provides for multiple modalities
- Is project based
- Values multiple intelligences
- Incorporates a network of community resources
- Uses technology to enhance effectiveness
- Is applicable to real life

## **Assessment**

- Is ongoing
- Uses ongoing observation
- Is meaningful
- Guides instruction
- Is clear, concise, and consistent
- Is authentic
- Uses rubrics
- Is standardized
- Provides an opportunity for reflection

## **Learning Environment**

- Is safe
- Is community based
- Encourages parent/family involvement
- Encourage students to take risks
- Is inviting
- Is educationally stimulating in all areas of the school.
- Uses all of the available educational assets
- Focuses on the positive
- Has multilevel resources
- Has varied resources



# Data Analysis and Needs Assessment

## Student Achievement


Fork Shoals relies on test scores from the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS), Measuring Academic Progress (MAP), and the Iowa Basic Skills (ITBS) Test to assess student achievement. Fork Shoals School sets high achievement expectations for our students. We are committed NCLB guidelines. We want to continually improve student performance on standardized tests. Fork Shoals earned a Good Report Card Rating for the past three years as determined by the South Carolina Department of Education. The ESEA Federal Accountability Rating Scale changed this past year. In previous years, you either met adequately yearly progress by meeting all of your objectives or not. For the past two years, the system changed to a give schools a score and a grade from 0 to 100. An A is between 90 and 100. A B is between 80 and 90 and so on. Fork Shoals received a score of 80.4 B.

	<b>Absolute Rating</b>	<b>Improvement Rating</b>	<b>AYP</b>
2013	<b>Good</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>80.4</b>
2012	<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>92.8</b>
2011	<b>Good</b>	<b>Average</b>	<b>No</b>


## Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS)

The Palmetto Assessment of State Standards is our statewide assessment that is based on South Carolina State Standards. This test has been given to our 3<sup>rd</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> grade students. The PASS test categorizes students into three areas: Exemplary, Met standard, and not met standard.

## PERCENT MEETING STANDARD ON PASS




### ELA




Fork Shoals School maintained similar performance levels in ELA.

### Writing



In writing, Fork Shoals School sees a decrease in meeting standard by 4%. The number of students scoring Exemplary remained the same. The current data also includes third and fourth graders being tested in writing whereas the last several years has been fifth grade only.


## Social Studies




Social Studies performance remained similar to what it was the previous year for the percentage meeting standard; however, the percent of Exemplary went up from 34% to 41%.

## Math

In math, the number of students meeting standard dropped from 82% to 78%.




## Science



For science, the percentages remained almost the same from last year.


## Schools Like Ours Comparison 2012-2013 PASS Data

The state compares schools with similar poverty indexes to show how we compare with other schools like ours. When Fork Shoals is compared with schools around the state, we do not perform as high in the exemplary range. However, we have a similar comparison for ELA and Social Studies. We do not perform as well in writing, math, and science.



Fork Shoals, like all schools in the state, administers the Iowa Test of Basic Skills to our second grade students in the fall. This is a norm referenced national standardized test. Our students are compared to a norm group comprising of students across the country. Below are our school's results over the past three years.

## ITBS Data



## Needs Assessment


- Writing has increased over the past several years, but continues to be a weakness at Fork Shoals.
- Science remains a weakness but has had a steady increase.
- Social Studies and ELA continue to be areas of strength.
- Greater percentage of students met the state standard in the areas of writing, math, science, and social studies.
- Greater percentage of students reached the exemplary level in writing, ELA, and Science.
- Like schools outperformed Fork Shoals in the exemplary range in all areas.
- Our all students, male, female, white, African American, and subsidized meals subgroups did well in ELA and math for the ESEA rating scale.
- Our disabled group was the school's lowest subgroup.

- All students, female, and white subgroups did well in Science and Social Studies.


## School Climate Needs Assessment

Every year fifth grade students, fifth grade parents, and teachers take a state department survey. The results of the survey are published in the school report card. Below are the results of the three questions published annually from the survey.


### Parent Perceptions of Fork Shoals School



### Student Perceptions of Fork Shoals School



## Teacher Perceptions of Fork Shoals School



In 2012-2013, the school administered an Accreditation Survey to parents, teachers, and staff. Unlike the state survey, all students and parents could take the survey. At Fork Shoals, the survey was available to all parents, all staff, and students in grades 3-5. The survey was available on-line. The computer lab was opened during one of our PTA meetings so parents could complete it at school if they chose. The principal included the link to complete the survey in

several weeks of the eNews. The scores on the parent and teacher surveys range from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest). The students range from 1 (lowest) to 3 (highest). Below are the results.

#### Parent Survey

Section	Average Score
Purpose & Direction	4.42
Governance & Leadership	4.35
Teaching and Assessing for Learning	4.27
Resources & Support Systems	4.34
Results for Continuous Improvement	4.29

*1 (lowest) and 5 (highest)*

#### Student Survey

Section	Average Score
Purpose & Direction	2.92
Governance & Leadership	2.77
Teaching and Assessing for Learning	2.82
Resources & Support Systems	2.88
Results for Continuous Improvement	2.70

*1(lowest) and 3 (highest)*

#### Staff Survey

Section	Average Score
Purpose & Direction	4.69
Governance & Leadership	4.59
Teaching and Assessing for Learning	4.29
Resources & Support Systems	4.43



Results for Continuous Improvement	4.33
------------------------------------	------

1 (lowest) and 5 (highest)

## Professional Development

The 2013-2014 Professional Development calendar is as follows:

Date	Activity	Responsible Party
June 17, 2013	Balanced Literacy Training- Primary	Heinemann Trainer
June 18, 2013	Balanced Literacy Training- Intermediate	Heinemann Trainer
June 19, 2013	2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade Summer Planning	Amy Giles/2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade
July 10-11, 2013	Leadership Council Retreat	Kim Reid
July 17, 2013	4 <sup>th</sup> Grade Summer Planning	Amy Giles/4 <sup>th</sup> Grade
July 23, 2013	PBIS Summer Planning	Christina Moroney
July 24, 2013	5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Summer Planning	Amy Giles/5 <sup>th</sup> Grade
July 29, 2013	3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade Summer Planning	Amy Giles/3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade
July 30, 2013	Kindergarten Summer Planning	Amy Giles
July 30, 2013	1 <sup>st</sup> Grade Summer Planning	Amy Giles/1 <sup>st</sup> Grade
August 14, 2013	First Day Faculty Meeting 8:00 AM-12:00 PM	Kim Reid
August 16, 18, 19, 2013	Curriculum Nights	All Staff
August 21, 2013	No Faculty Meeting	
August 28, 2013	Costco/Benchmark Assessment Training/Managing Workstations (i.e. Daily 5 and CAFÉ)	Amy Giles
September 3, 2013	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
September 4, 2013	Development of Central Ideas for IB Planners (2 <sup>nd</sup> Unit)	Leslie Fulcher, Allyson McDowell, Amy Giles, Kim Reid
September 11, 2013	A-Team, 504, ESOL, & Challenge	Matt Critell/Autumn Cline/Vilma Turner/Jessica Kelowitz

September 18, 2013	Fountas & Pinnell Training Day Primary 8-11; Intermediate 11:45-2:45 (List of things to bring)	Heinemann Trainer
September 25, 2013	Vertical Teams (PASS Data Analysis for your subject area)	All Staff
October 1, 2013	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
October 2, 2013	Interpreting Data from Common Assessments for Instruction; MAP Analysis from Fall Scores (Bring MAP sheet & Use Enrich)	Amy Giles/Kim Reid
October 9, 2013	Fountas & Pinnell Training Day Primary 8-11; Intermediate 11:45-2:45	Heinemann Trainer
October 16, 2013	Math Training (Common Core & Our Needs based on Observations)	Cathy Hale
October 23, 2013	No Faculty Meeting	All Staff
October 30, 2013	Science Training (Common Core & our Needs based on Observations)	Chris Burras
November 5, 2013	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
November 6, 2013	Balanced Literacy Best Practices	All Staff
November 7, 2013	Fountas & Pinnell Training Primary 8-11; Intermediate 11:45-2:45	Heinemann Trainer
November 13, 2013	No Faculty Meeting	All Staff
November 20, 2013	Vertical Teams (Rotations to share Best Practices)	All Staff
November 27, 2013	No Faculty Meeting Happy Thanksgiving!	
December 3, 2013	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
December 4, 2013	Strategies for using math manipulatives for instruction with Common Core	All Staff
December 11, 2013	Faculty Christmas Gift Exchange	
December 18, 2013	No Faculty Meeting Merry Christmas! ☺	
January 7, 2013	Leadership Council	Kim Reid

January 8, 2013	No Faculty Meeting	
January 15, 2013	Narrative Report Cards	All Staff
January 22, 2013	Vertical Teams	All Staff
January 29, 2013	Best Practices for implementing Common Core/Balanced Literacy	All Staff
February 4, 2014	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
February 5, 2014		
February 12, 2014	No Faculty Meeting Happy Valentine's Day! ☺	
February 19, 2014	Conceptual Understanding	Amy Giles/Kim Reid
February 26, 2014	Vertical Teams	All Staff
March 4, 2014	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
March 5, 2014	No Faculty Meeting	
March 12, 2014	Conceptual Understanding for Common Core and IB Units	Amy Giles/Kim Reid
March 19, 2014	Vertical Teams	All Staff
March 26, 2014	No Faculty Meeting	
April 1, 2014	Leadership Council	Kim Reid
April 2, 2014	MAP Spring Analysis—Next Steps to prepare for PASS	All Staff
April 9, 2014	Sharing Best Practices	All Staff
April 16, 2014	No Faculty Meeting Spring Break ☺	
April 23, 2014	Vertical Teams	All Staff
April 30, 2014	End of Year Part 1 (Checklist)	Kim Reid
May 6, 2014	Leadership Council (meet with new and previous council members)	Kim Reid
May 7, 2014	No Faculty Meeting	
May 14, 2014	Narrative Report Cards/Reflection	All Staff
May 21, 2014	End of the Year Part II (Making Classes); Explain Thank you Exchange	All Staff
May 28, 2014	Ice Cream Celebration Thank you Exchange	All Staff

While the 2014-2015 professional development calendar is not completely set yet, Fork Shoals will be focusing on the continued implementation of the common core state standards, balanced literacy, inquiry, and technology.

## SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN FOR 2013-14 through 2017-18

☒ Student Achievement    ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality    ☐ School Climate    ☐ Other Priority

**GOAL AREA 1:** Raise the academic challenge and performance of each student.

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in writing and English Language Arts each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in writing as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from 76.8% in 2012 to 81.8% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually increase by one (1) percentage point(s) students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in writing as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	77.8	78.8	79.8	80.8	81.8
School Actual	76.8	71					
District Projected	X	X	78.8	79.8	80.8	81.8	82.8
District Actual	77.8	78.7					

Baseline data from 2011-12 is based upon 5<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade scores only. Projected performance is based upon 3<sup>rd</sup> through 8<sup>th</sup> grade scores.

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students meeting standard in English Language Arts (reading and research) as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from 84.3% in 2012 to 89.3% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Increase by one (1) percentage point(s) annually students meeting standard in English Language Arts (reading and research) as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	85.3	86.3	87.3	88.3	89.3
School Actual	84.3	85.5					
District Projected	X	X	79.0	80.0	81.0	82.0	83.0
District Actual	78.0	80.5					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** By grade band (elementary), meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in English Language Arts (reading and research) as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in English Language Arts (reading and research) as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card

ELA – School	Baseline 2011- 12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	667.1	647.8					
Male	659.7	652.5					
Female	674.2	653.5					
White	670.4	653.4					
African-American	656.2	625.4					
Asian/Pacific Islander							
Hispanic							
American Indian/Alaskan							
Disabled	611.1	587					
Limited English Proficient							
Subsidized Meals	653.7	632.2					

<b>ELA - District - Grades 3-5</b>	<b>Baseline 2011- 12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	671.1	672					
Male	665.7	666.7					
Female	676.8	677.6					
White	685.1	684.6					
African-American	644.4	648.3					
Asian/Pacific Islander	696.1	697.5					
Hispanic	650.8	653.4					
American Indian/Alaskan	688.2	677.3					
Disabled	614.9	618.2					
Limited English Proficient	654.9	657.2					
Subsidized Meals	649.2	652.5					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*



## PASS % MATH

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in mathematics each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students meeting standard in mathematics as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from 82% in 2012 to 87.7% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Increase by one (1) percentage point(s) annually students meeting standard in mathematics as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	83.7	84.7	85.7	86.7	87.7
School Actual	82.7	77					
District Projected	X	X	78.4	79.4	80.4	81.4	82.4
District Actual	77.4	77.3					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

## PASS AVG. MATH

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** By grade band (elementary), meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in mathematics as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in mathematics as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card

Math – School	Baseline 2011- 12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	659.2	638.28					
Male	657	644.94					
Female	661.3	639.33					
White	664	643.67					
African-American	638.5	612.27					
Asian/Pacific Islander							
Hispanic							
American Indian/Alaskan							
Disabled	611.6	581					
Limited English Proficient							
Subsidized Meals	644.8	621.3					

<b>Math - District – Grades 3-5</b>	<b>Baseline 2011- 12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	664.8	664.0					
Male	665.3	663.6					
Female	664.3	664.4					
White	677.8	677.3					
African-American	636.8	634.5					
Asian/Pacific Islander	703.1	701.1					
Hispanic	649.0	650.6					
American Indian/Alaskan	668.4	674.4					
Disabled	607.9	606.9					
Limited English Proficient	656.1	657.6					
Subsidized Meals	643.6	643.0					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

## PASS % TESTED

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Meet the annual measurable objective (AMO) of 95% of students tested for all ELA and math tests and subgroups each year from 2014 through 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet the annual measurable objective (AMO) of 95% of students tested for all ELA and math tests and subgroups annually.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

% Tested ELA – School	Baseline 2011- 12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected Performance	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
Actual Performance							
All Students	100	100					
Male	100	100					
Female	100	100					
White	100	100					
African-American	100	100					
Asian/Pacific Islander							
Hispanic							
American Indian/Alaskan							
Disabled	100	100					
Limited English Proficient	100	100					
Subsidized Meals	100	100					

<b>% Tested ELA – District Grades 3-5</b>	<b>Baseline 2011- 12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
Actual Performance							
All Students	99.9	99.9					
Male	99.9	99.9					
Female	99.9	100					
White	99.9	100					
African-American	99.8	99.9					
Asian/Pacific Islander	99.8	100					
Hispanic	99.9	99.8					
American Indian/Alaskan	100.0	100					
Disabled	99.2	99.6					
Limited English Proficient	99.8	99.8					
Subsidized Meals	99.8	99.9					

<b>% Tested Math – School</b>	<b>Baseline 2011- 12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
Actual Performance							
All Students	100	100					
Male	100	100					
Female	100	100					
White	100	100					
African-American	100	100					
Asian/Pacific Islander							
Hispanic							
American							

Indian/Alaskan							
Disabled	100	100					
Limited English Proficient	100	100					
Subsidized Meals	100	100					

<b>% Tested Math – District – Grades 3-5</b>	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
Actual Performance							
All Students	100.0	100					
Male	99.9	99.9					
Female	100.0	100					
White	100.0	99.9					
African-American	99.9	99.9					
Asian/Pacific Islander	100.0	100					
Hispanic	99.9	100					
American Indian/Alaskan	100.0	100					
Disabled	99.8	99.7					
Limited English Proficient	99.9	100					
Subsidized Meals	99.9	100					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

## PASS % SCIENCE

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in science each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students meeting standard in science as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from 75.8% in 2012 to 80.8% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Increase by one (1) percentage point(s) annually students meeting standard in science as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	76.8	77.8	78.8	79.8	80.8
School Actual	75.8	74					
District Projected	X	X	76.9	77.9	78.9	79.9	80.9
District Actual	75.9	77.0					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

**PASS AVG. SCIENCE**

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** By grade band (elementary), meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in science as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in science as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card

Science – School	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	629.9	628					
Male	628	633					
Female	631.7	629					
White	634.3	633					
African-American	604.8	601					
Asian/Pacific Islander							
Hispanic							
American Indian/Alaskan							
Disabled	582.3	585					
Limited English Proficient							
Subsidized Meals	614	619					



<b>Science - District – Grades 3-5</b>	<b>Baseline 2011- 12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	632.8	633.0					
Male	633.7	633.6					
Female	631.8	632.4					
White	645.2	646.2					
African-American	607.3	606.2					
Asian/Pacific Islander	655.9	660.5					
Hispanic	617.0	616.2					
American Indian/Alaskan	640.3	644.0					
Disabled	585.9	587.2					
Limited English Proficient	620.7	620.9					
Subsidized Meals	614.1	613.8					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

## PASS % SOCIAL STUDIES

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in social studies each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students meeting standard in social studies as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from 85.8% in 2012 to 90.8% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Increase by one (1) percentage point(s) annually students meeting standard in social studies as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	86.8	87.8	88.8	89.8	90.8
School Actual	85.8	86					
District Projected	X	X	79.9	80.9	81.9	82.9	83.9
District Actual	78.9	79.6					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

## PASS AVG. SOCIAL STUDIES

☒ Student Achievement  
 ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality  
 ☐ School Climate  
 ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** By grade band (elementary), meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in social studies as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet the required annual measurable objectives (AMOs) in social studies as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

Social Studies - School	Baseline 2011- 12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	646.1	653.6					
Male	646	659.2					
Female	646.2	648.1					
White	651.8	654.9					
African-American	630.1	643.8					
Asian/Pacific Islander							
Hispanic							
American Indian/Alaskan							
Disabled	611.8	621.9					
Limited English Proficient							
Subsidized Meals	632.7	647.5					

<b>Social Studies - District Grades 3-5</b>	<b>Baseline 2011- 12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected Performance	630	635	640	645	650	655	660
Actual Performance							
All Students	649.2	655.6					
Male	651.8	658.3					
Female	646.6	652.6					
White	661.3	667.6					
African-American	626.1	631.1					
Asian/Pacific Islander	676.9	683.5					
Hispanic	632.8	640					
American Indian/Alaskan	655.8	660.8					
Disabled	605.3	611.2					
Limited English Proficient	637.7	644.9					
Subsidized Meals	629.0	635.6					

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

☒ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Increase student performance on state and national assessments, including the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS).

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Annually meet or exceed the national norm of 50<sup>th</sup> percentile in each subtest of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) in grade 2.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually meet or exceed the national norm of 50<sup>th</sup> percentile in each subtest of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) in grade 2.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** Fall 2011 and Fall 2012 ITBS/CogAT report produced by Riverside Publishing

School	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Reading Comprehension Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile
Reading Comprehension Actual	74%tile	69%tile	68%tile				
Mathematics Concepts Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile
Mathematics Concepts Actual	53%tile	56%tile	49%tile				
Mathematics Problems Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile
Mathematics Problems Actual	64%tile	63%tile	65%tile				

<b>District</b>	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Reading Comprehension Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile
Reading Comprehension Actual	67 <sup>th</sup> %tile	66 <sup>th</sup> %tile	67%tile				
Mathematics Concepts Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile
Mathematics Concepts Actual	52 <sup>nd</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	49%tile				
Mathematics Problems Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile
Mathematics Problems Actual	58 <sup>th</sup> %tile	55 <sup>th</sup> %tile	57%tile				

<b><u>STRATEGY</u> <u>Activity</u></b>	<b><u>Timeline</u></b>	<b><u>Person</u> <u>Responsible</u></b>	<b><u>Estimated</u> <u>Cost</u></b>	<b><u>Funding</u> <u>Sources</u></b>	<b><u>Indicators of</u> <u>Implementation</u></b>
MAP Testing	Sept & March 2013- 2018	Instructional Coach	N/A	District Funding	MAP Scores
Math Superstars (Grades K-2)	Sept – May 2013- 2018	Parent Volunteer	N/A	Local Funds (copying)	MAP test score data
Destination Imagination (Grades 3-5)	Sept-May 2013- 2018	Parent Volunteer	N/A	Local Funds (supplies)	Problem solving scores
Before School Program (Early Risers for targeted students)	Oct-May 2013- 2014	Administration	N/A	None	MAP & PASS Scores
Teacher data spreadsheet analysis	Sept-May 2013- 2018	Teachers, Instructional Coach, Admin	N/A	None	Review of spreadsheets during conferences with admin
Map Test Analysis for formative planning	Sept & March 2013- 2018	Teachers, Instructional Coach, Admin	N/A	None	Analysis sheet
Common Assessment Data & Item Analysis for unit tests	Sept-May 2013- 2018	Teachers, Instructional Coach, Admin	N/A	None	Review of data during grade level meetings and administration meetings
Fountas & Pinnell Implementation for balanced literacy	June 2013-May 2018	District trainers, instructional coach, Administration, teachers	\$16,000	Local Funds	Review of reading level data

Calendar math across all grade levels	Sept 2013-May 2018	Teachers	N/A	None	Test Scores
Mainstream all special education students into grade level content areas with support	Sept 2013-May 2018	Special Education Teachers/ Regular Education Teachers	N/A	None	Test Scores
Continue to evaluate and modify programs of instruction for special education students during pull-out classes	Sept 2013-May 2018	Special Education/Regular Education teachers/Administration	N/A	None	Growth Charts
Provide training on how to identify subgroups that do not meet standard on state tests	Yearly	Administration/ Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Graphs
Provide training on how to analyze standardized test scores and disaggregate the data to determine strengths and weaknesses	Yearly	Administration/ Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Data Charts
Provide support for grade level planning meetings	Weekly	Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Minutes
Support 6+1 Traits of Writing	Yearly	Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Test Scores
Provide support for First in Math	Yearly	Instructional Coach	\$4700	PTA	Math Scores
Develop lessons on the IB Learner Profile and Attitudes	Sept 2013-May 2018	Guidance Counselor	N/A	None	Discipline Data
Develop lessons on study skills, organization, test taking, and social skills	Sept 2013-May 2018	Guidance Counselor/ Teachers	N/A	None	Test Data
Use student portfolios to	Sept	Teachers, parents,	N/A	None	Student-led



analyze and evaluate student work over time.	2013-May 2018	students, instructional coach, administration			conferences
Special education consultation for teachers	Yearly	Special Education teachers/Regular Education teachers	N/A	None	Emails, conversations, accommodation plans
Provide ongoing training to teachers for the implementation of Common Core State Standards	August 2013-May 2018	Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Professional Development Calendar, Grade Level Meetings
Implement the use of Fountas & Pinnell, Project Read, and Wilson's for resource students	August 2013-May 2018	Special Education Teachers	N/A	None	Growth charts, test data
Teach writing in the inclusion class for resource students	August 2013-May 2018	Special Education Teachers	N/A	None	Growth charts, test data
Provide instructional materials to support the curriculum in Science	August 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Science Scores
Provide instructional materials to support the curriculum in Social Studies	August 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Social Studies Scores
Provide instructional materials to support curriculum in Math	August 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Math Scores
Provide a mentor program for homework help	Daily	PTA Volunteers	N/A	None	Homework completion, test scores
Integrate writing across the curriculum through the IB program of inquiry.	August 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach	N/A	None	Writing Scores
Fully implement the use of Activotes and Active	August 2013-May	Teachers/ Media Specialist/ Instructional	N/A	None	Flip charts

Expressions	2018	Coach			
Develop the use of tablets in the classroom.	Sept 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach	\$3500	Refresh Funds	Lesson Plans
Instruct students in Spanish	Aug 2013-May 2018	Spanish Teachers	N/A	None	Lesson Plans
Implement peer observations for best practices	August 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach/ Administration	N/A	None	Lesson Plans, Observation Schedule
Continue with the use of virtual field trips to provide engaging learning activities for students	August 2013-May 2018	Teachers/ Instructional Coach	Varies	Local Funds	Schedule

## PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

☐ Student Achievement   ☒ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☐ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**GOAL AREA 2:** Ensure quality personnel in all positions.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Improve personnel quality by ensuring 100% of teachers are trained/retrained in the IB PYP program by 2018.

### **ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:**

**OBJECTIVE 1:** Teachers, staff and administration will increase 20% each year in IB training before our next evaluation visit.

**OBJECTIVE 2:** Principal and teachers will successfully complete the PAS-A and PAS-T evaluation system annually.

**OBJECTIVE 3:** Teachers, Staff and administration will improve competency through professional development maintaining at least 30 hours of professional development per person annually.

### **DATA SOURCE(S):**

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
Projected	X	x	40%	60%	80%	100%	100%
Actual		16%	30%				

<b><u>STRATEGY</u> <u>Activity</u></b>	<b><u>Timeline</u></b>	<b><u>Person</u> <u>Responsible</u></b>	<b><u>Estimated</u> <u>Cost</u></b>	<b><u>Funding</u> <u>Sources</u></b>	<b><u>Indicators of</u> <u>Implementation</u></b>
Teachers and staff will continue to implement and refine the International Baccalaureate Primary Years Program by collaboration with other IB schools.	2013-2018	IB Coordinator, teachers, administration	N/A	None	Upstate PYP meetings, district IB meetings, Upstate workshops,
Continue with reoccurring IB training for teachers and staff.	2013-2018	IB Coordinator, administration	\$13,500	IB General Funds, Local Funds, PTA	Certificates of attendance
Annually provide IB updates to teachers	2013-2018	IB Coordinator	N/A	None	Agendas, planning meetings
Continue the implementation of vertical teams for professional learning communities	2013-2018	Teachers/ IB Coordinator-IC, Administration	N/A	None	Agendas, minutes
Continue to develop the mentor/mentee program for new teachers.	2013-2018	Instructional Coach/ Principal	N/A	None	Agendas
Provide initial IB information to new teachers	2013-2018	Instructional Coach/ Principal	N/A	None	New Teacher training
Teacher/staff observations	2013-2018	Administration	N/A	None	Observation notes
Teacher goal setting/fall, winter, and spring conferencing	2013-2018	Administration/ Teachers	N/A	None	Goals sheets and conferencing notes

## STUDENT ATTENDANCE

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**GOAL AREA 3:** Provide a school environment supportive of learning.\_

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Achieve an annual student attendance rate of 95%.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain an annual student attendance rate of 95% or higher.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
School Actual	96.4	96.0					
District Projected	X	X	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
District Actual	95.9	95.6					

## PARENT SATISFACTION – LEARNING ENV.

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of parents who are satisfied with the learning environment from 91.9% in 2012 to 93.4% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by 0.3 percentage point(s) annually parents who are satisfied with the learning environment.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card Survey results – Question #5

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	92.2	92.5	92.8	93.1	93.4
School Actual	91.9	91.3					
District Projected	X	X	89.0	89.5	90.0	90.5	91.0
District Actual	88.0*	88.1					

\*SDE has not yet provided GCS with the District's Parent Survey results for 2011-12. Info is from 2010-11.\*

## STUDENT SATISFACTION – LEARNING ENV.

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of students who are satisfied with the learning environment from 93% in 2012 to 94.5% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by 0.3 percentage point(s) annually students who are satisfied with the learning environment.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card Survey results – Question #18

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	93.3	93.6	93.9	94.2	94.5
School Actual	93	89.7					
District Projected (ES, MS, and HS)	X	X	83.5	84.0	84.5	85.0	85.5
District Actual (ES and MS)	83.8	82.7					

## TEACHER SATISFACTION – LEARNING ENV.

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of teachers who are satisfied with the learning environment from 97.8% in 2012 to 98.3% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by 0.1 percentage point(s) annually teachers who are satisfied with the learning environment.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card Survey results – Question #27

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	97.9	98	98.1	98.2	98.3
School Actual	97.8	100					
District Projected	X	X	92.5	93.0	93.5	94.0	94.5
District Actual	98.0	92.6					



## PARENT SATISFACTION – SAFETY

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of parents who indicate that their child feels safe at school from 97% in 2012 to 97.5% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by 0.1 percentage point(s) annually parents who indicate that their child feels safe at school.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card Survey results – Question #18

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	97.1	97.2	97.3	97.4	97.5
School Actual	97	89.0					
District Projected	X	X	93.9	94.3	94.7	95.1	95.5
District Actual	93.5*	92.8					

\*SDE has not yet provided GCS with the District's Parent Survey results for 2011-12. Info is from 2010-11.\*

## STUDENT SATISFACTION – SAFETY

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of students who feel safe at school during the school day from 96.5% in 2012 to 97% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by 0.1 percentage point(s) annually students who feel safe at school during the school day.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card Survey results – Question #30

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	96.6	96.7	96.8	96.9	97
School Actual	96.5	97.4					
District Projected	X	X	91.9	92.3	92.7	93.1	93.5
District Actual	90.9	90.2					

## TEACHER SATISFACTION – SAFETY

☐ Student Achievement   ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality   ☒ School Climate   ☐ Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percent of teachers who feel safe at school during the school day at or above 99.5% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, maintain the percentage point(s) annually teachers who feel safe at school during the school day.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card Survey results – Question #39

	<b>Baseline 2011-12</b>	<b>Planning Year 2012-13</b>	<b>2013-14</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
School Projected	X	X	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5	99.5
School Actual	100	100					
District Projected	X	X	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.5
District Actual	98.9	98.3					

<b><u>STRATEGY</u> <u>Activity</u></b>	<b><u>Timeline</u></b>	<b><u>Person</u> <u>Responsible</u></b>	<b><u>Estimated</u> <u>Cost</u></b>	<b><u>Funding</u> <u>Sources</u></b>	<b><u>Indicators of</u> <u>Implementation</u></b>
Continue to update the school crisis plan with best practices.	Yearly	Assistant Principal	N/A	None	Updated plan
Provide annually anti-bullying training for students.	Yearly	Guidance Counselor	\$250	Local funds	SC Children's Theatre Program
Give IB profile awards each quarter	Quarterly	Teachers	N/A	None	Awards
Continue to develop PBIS and the use of the IB attitudes.	Daily	Teachers, Administration	N/A	None	Discipline Data
Conduct regular safety drills to ensure appropriate responses to emergencies.	Monthly	Assistant Principal	N/A	None	Response Times
Provide annual parenting workshops on topics parents indicate are needed at the school.	Yearly	Administration, Instructional Coach, Guidance Counselor	N/A	None	Handouts
Provide opportunities for parental involvement in the school.	Daily	PTA	N/A	None	Volunteer Hours
Implement grade level curriculum nights in the fall.	Yearly	Teachers, Instructional Coach, Administration	N/A	None	Handouts
Communicate school goals and progress annually.	Yearly	Principal	N/A	None	PTA, SIC Meetings
Communicate school news, policies, and events on an annual basis.	Weekly	Principal	N/A	None	Newsletters, emails

Provide weekly communication to parents about school and classroom news written/electronically, and orally.	Weekly	Principal	N/A	None	Newsletters, emails, website
School report to the community	Yearly	Administration, Instructional Coach, SIC, PTA	N/A	None	Website, Report to the Community
Weekly student reflections of the student profile	Weekly	Teachers	N/A	None	Reflections
Attendance interventions	Quarterly	Administration, Attendance Clerk, Guidance Counselor	N/A	None	Attendance Rate
Refine the student mentor program.	Yearly	PBIS Team	N/A	None	Number of mentors

## WEBLINKS

[2012-2013 Fork Shoals School Report Card](#)

[2012-2013 ESEA \(Federal Accountability Rating System\)](#)